



November 4, 2002

Mr. Brad Norton  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Austin  
P.O. Box 1546  
Austin, Texas 78767-1546

OR2002-6273

Dear Mr. Norton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 171689.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a request for copies of incident reports involving a named person, four case reports specified by number, and reports for service to a specified address.<sup>1</sup> You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We first note that the submitted information contains one document that is not responsive to the request for information. We do not address the required public disclosure of this document, which we have marked.

We turn now to your arguments. You assert that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." For information to be protected from public disclosure by the common-law right of privacy under section 552.101, the information must meet the criteria set out in *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court stated that information is excepted from disclosure if (1) the

---

<sup>1</sup>The city has not submitted to this office for review the report the requestor identified as "case #009722510." We understand that the city does not possess a report with that number. The Public Information Act (the "Act") does not require a governmental body to release to a requestor info that does not exist. See Open Records Decision Nos. 452 at 2-3 (1986) (document is not within the purview of the Act if, when a governmental body receives a request for it, it does not exist), 342 at 3 (1982) (Act applies only to information in existence, and does not require the governmental body to prepare new information). Thus, the city need not respond to this portion of the request.

information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the release of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. 540 S.W.2d at 685. Where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the information takes on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy. See *United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989). In this instance, the requestor has specified by numbers the reports she seeks. Thus, the release of reports numbered 02-1281364, 02-4129619, and 02-4652613, as well as the other requested reports, does not implicate an individual's privacy rights. Therefore, we conclude the city may not withhold any of the requested information under section 552.101 and *Reporters Committee*.

You next assert that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. This section provides in pertinent part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation or prosecution of crime; [or]

(2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1), (2), (b)(1), (2). Generally speaking, subsections 552.108(a)(1) and (a)(2) are mutually exclusive. Subsection 552.108(a)(1) protects information that pertains to a pending criminal investigation or prosecution. In contrast, subsection 552.108(a)(2) protects information relating to a concluded criminal investigation or prosecution that did not result in a conviction or a deferred adjudication. A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must reasonably explain, if the information does not supply the explanation on its face, how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. See Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); see also *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). On the other hand, a governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication.

In this instance, you claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under 552.108 because the records "pertain to a pending criminal investigation that has not yet resulted in a final conviction or a deferred adjudication." We understand you to assert that the submitted incident reports relate to pending criminal investigations and that the release of the reports would interfere with law enforcement. After review of the submitted records, we conclude that the city may withhold the following incident reports from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1): 02-4129619, 02-4652613, 00-4805535,

and 00-4239421. For the remaining submitted reports, we find that the city has not sufficiently explained the applicability of section 552.108 and the city may not withhold those reports on that basis.

However, section 552.108 is inapplicable to basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense and arrest information, you may withhold the remainder of the requested information from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1). We note that you have the discretion to release all or part of the remaining information that is not otherwise confidential by law. Gov't Code § 552.007.

Finally, we note that some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.130. Section 552.130 provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

You must withhold the motor vehicle information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the city may withhold from disclosure incident report numbers 02-4129619, 02-4652613, 00-4805535, and 00-4239421 based on section 552.108(a)(1), with the exception of basic information. We have marked motor vehicle information that must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.130. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full

benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



V.G. Schimmel  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

VGS/sdk

Ref: ID# 171689

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Maria Villarreal  
P.O. Box 694  
Rockspring, Texas 78880  
(w/o enclosures)